



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/688,216	10/16/2000	KNUD ERIK BAEKGAARD	740119-98	8804
22204	7590 01/29/2003			
NIXON PEABODY, LLP 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			EXAMINER	
			GRIER, LAURA A	
MCLEAN, VA	A 22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2644	
			DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 4
•	09/688,216	BAEKGAARD ET AL.	·
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Laura A Grier	2644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication			
eriod for Reply		and don't depondented address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s' - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a a reply within the statutory minimum of thi briod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tatute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rly (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communica BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all		atters, prosecution as to the merit	s is
closed in accordance with the practice unisposition of Claims			0 10
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the applica	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) 1,6,11,12,21 and 22 is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s) <u>2-5,7,8,10 and 13-20</u> is/are object	ted to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar plication Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t	·		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐	disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in	n reply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.		
riority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International	oriority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ation).
 a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 	provisional application has be nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	een received. . §§ 120 and/or 121.	
ttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No) 5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_•

Application/Control Number: 09/688,216

Art Unit: 2644

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 6, 9, 11-12, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harley in view of Dieken et al, U. S. Patent No. 5347583.

Regarding **claim 1**, Harley discloses an active noise control stethoscope (figures 1-4). Harley's disclosure comprises a sensor means, which inherently teaches a vibration transducer as evident by the fact that sensor detects body sounds (vibrations, etc.) and converts the sound into electrical signals (col. 10, lines 55-60); a headset (13), which constitutes as headphones; a FIR filter for providing amplification (col. 10, lines 10-12); and a digital filter (col. 7, lines 5-27), wherein the electric stethoscope provides an acoustic output; and as well a noise control stethoscope constitutes as a type of acoustic stethoscope. However, Harley fails to specifically disclose a pre-emphasis filter for emphasizing high frequencies. The examiner maintains that such a filter was well known in the art.

Regarding the filter, in a similar field of endeavor, Dieken et al. (herein, Dieken) discloses an electronic stethoscope having binaural earpiece. Dieken's disclosure teaches an electronic stethoscope providing an acoustic output like that of a conventional stethoscope, and as well, Dieken discloses a filter for high frequencies

Application/Control Number: 09/688,216

Art Unit: 2644

characteristics (col. 7, lines 4-20), which may constitute as a pre-emphasis filter for emphasizing high frequencies.

It would have been obvious to one of the ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the invention of Harley for the purpose of providing high frequency conditioning of the sounds picked up or sensed from a person's body.

Regarding **claim 6**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further discloses impulse transfer functions of a digital filter is obtained by measurement of an active noise control stethoscope (a digital filter (col. 7, lines 5-27), wherein the electric stethoscope provides an acoustic output; and as well a noise control stethoscope constitutes as a type of acoustic stethoscope).

Regarding **claim 9**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further discloses support providing automatic amplification control (col. 10, lines 25-30).

Regarding **claim 11**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further discloses the headphone arrangement with transducer fitted in an immediate proximity of the ear canal of each ear (figure 1 and col. 5, lines 46-51).

Regarding **claim 12**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further discloses means of providing adequate compensation (col. 9, lines 63-67 and col. 10, lines 25-46).

Regarding **claim 21**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further provides inherent support that the transfer function

Page 4

of the stethoscope produces temporal relations as those produced by a acoustic stethoscope as evident by stethoscope's ability to function in respect to a user's hearing capabilities (col. 10, lines 10-32).

Regarding **claim 22**, Harley and Dieken discloses everything claimed as applied above (see claim 1). Harley further provides inherent support that the digital has filter coefficients equal to the resonance peaks of a transfer function for an acoustic stethoscope (col. 7, lines 5—67) and evident by the fact the stethoscope based on the filters' functions provide this electronic stethoscope with the output of an conventional acoustic stethoscope.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2—5, 7-8, 10, 13-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In the response/remark filed on 10/25/02, pages 2-3, the applicant argued that the primary reference of Thomasson did not teach the invention in respect to the filter for emphasizing high frequencies and for providing an electric stethoscope that function in response to impulse transfer functions of a digital filter that makes the electric

Application/Control Number: 09/688,216

Art Unit: 2644

stethoscope functions as an acoustic stethoscope. The examiner agrees with the arguments of Thomasson. In reference to Harley, the applicant argues that the reference of Harley fails to provide the teachings of an electronic stethoscope that functions of at least one acoustic type stethoscope. In support of Harley, the examiner has maintained the prior art reference of Harley, in conjuction with another reference of prior in the same environment. Harley is now the primary reference prior art in respect that Harley discloses the essential concepts of the claim language of the invention. Harley discloses a digital filter that generates impulse transfer functions corresponding to an active noise control stethoscope, wherein a noise control stethoscope constitutes as at least one type of acoustic stethoscope. Harley specifically states throughout it specification that the stethoscope functions as a conventional stethoscope.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A Grier whose telephone number is (703) 306-4819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on (703) 305-4386.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2644

Page 6

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-4700.

LAG //// January 25, 2003

> MINSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	FORNEY DOCKET NO.
		. 7	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				q
			DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks